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Abstract
Objective: To provide guidelines for the health care provider on the diagnosis and clinical management of postmenopausal osteoporosis.

Outcomes: Strategies for identifying and evaluating high-risk individuals, the use of bone mineral density (BMD) and bone turnover markers in assessing diagnosis and response to management, and recommendations regarding nutrition, physical activity, and the selection of pharmacologic therapy to prevent and manage osteoporosis.

Evidence: MEDLINE and the Cochrane database were searched for articles in English on subjects related to osteoporosis diagnosis, prevention, and management from March 2001 to April 2005. The authors critically reviewed the evidence and developed the recommendations according to the Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology Canada's methodology and consensus development process.

Values: The quality of evidence is rated using the criteria described in the report of the Canadian Task Force on the Periodic Health Examination. Recommendations for practice are ranked according to the method described in this report.

Sponsors: The development of this consensus guideline was supported by unrestricted educational grants from Berlex Canada Inc., Lilly Canada, Merck Frosst, Novartis, Novogen, Novo Nordisk, Proctor and Gamble, Schering Canada, and Wyeth Canada.

Recommendations:
1. The goals of osteoporosis management should be fracture risk assessment and prevention of fracture (IB). Bone mineral density should not be viewed as the only indicator for management success because therapy may or may not be associated with significant increases in BMD. (IA)

2. Physicians should be aware that a prevalent vertebral or non-vertebral fragility fracture markedly increases the risk of future fracture. (IA)

3. Fragility fracture after the age of 40, over 65 years of age without fragility fracture, low BMD, and family history of osteoporotic fracture (especially maternal hip fracture) should be recognized as the key risk factors for fragility fractures. Systemic glucocorticoid use of more than 3 months duration should be considered as another major risk factor. (IA)

4. Evaluation of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women should include the assessment of clinical risk factors for low BMD and BMD testing. (IB)

5. Central (hip and spine) measurements by dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) should be used for both risk assessment (IA) and follow-up (IB), as they provide the most accurate and precise measurements of BMD.

6. Further evidence should be collected to determine the role of peripheral BMD measurements (e.g., ultrasound or DXA measurements in the radius, phalanx, or heel) in clinical practice. (II-2D)

7. Postmenopausal women with historical height loss greater than 6 cm, prospective height loss greater than 2 cm, kyphosis, or acute incapacitating back pain syndrome should be sent for spine radiographs with a specific request to rule out vertebral fractures. (IA)

8. Until more data becomes available on other clinical applications, bone turnover markers can be used to rapidly assess adherence and effectiveness of pharmacological interventions. (IB)

Calcium and Vitamin D
9. Although it might not be sufficient as the sole therapy for osteoporosis, routine supplementation with calcium (1000 mg/d) and vitamin D3 (800 IU/d) is still recommended as mandatory adjunct therapy to the main pharmacological interventions (antiresorptive and anabolic drugs). (IB)

Hormone Therapy
10. Hormone therapy (HT) should be prescribed to symptomatic postmenopausal women as the most effective therapy for symptom relief (IA) and a reasonable choice for the prevention of bone loss and fracture (IA). The risks should be weighted against the benefits if estrogen therapy is being used solely for fracture prevention. (ID)
Bisphosphonates
11. Treatment with alendronate or risedronate should be considered to decrease vertebral, non-vertebral, and hip fractures. (IA)
12. Treatment with etidronate can be considered to decrease vertebral fractures. (IB)

Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators
13. Treatment with raloxifene should be considered to decrease vertebral fractures. (IA)

Calcitonin
14. Treatment with calcitonin can be considered to decrease vertebral fractures and to reduce pain associated with acute vertebral fractures. (IB)

Parathyroid Hormone
15. Treatment with teriparatide should be considered to decrease vertebral and non-vertebral fractures in postmenopausal women with severe osteoporosis. (IA)

Combination Therapy
16. Although combination of antiresorptive therapies may be synergistic in increasing bone mineral density, the anti-fracture effectiveness has not been proven; therefore, it is not recommended. (ID)

INTRODUCTION
Osteoporosis is a systemic skeletal disorder characterized by a low BMD and microarchitectural deterioration of bone tissue, leading to enhanced bone fragility and a consequent increase in fracture risk. The condition is usually painless until a fracture occurs. Because of its association with fractures, osteoporosis is a major public health hazard with high morbidity, mortality, and social costs. Recent advances in measuring BMD have provided strategies to assess the presence and extent of early and asymptomatic osteoporosis.

EPIDEMIOLOGY
Osteoporosis is a major public health problem in Canada and its prevalence is increasing as the population ages. According to results from BMD assessments in the Canadian Multicentre Osteoporosis Study (CaMOS), the prevalence of osteoporosis in Canadian women aged 50 years and over was 12.1% at the lumbar spine and 7.9% at the femoral neck, with a combined prevalence of 15.8%. The prevalence of osteoporosis increases with age from approximately 6% at 50 years of age to over 50% above 80 years of age. In light of these statistics and the aging of the population, it comes as no surprise that osteoporosis will be an even greater problem in the future.

Based on fracture data, it has been estimated that approximately 1 in 4 women and 1 in 8 men in Canada have osteoporosis. The public health and clinical importance of osteoporosis lies in the fractures that occur. Conservative estimates have suggested that a 50-year-old Caucasian woman has a remaining lifetime fragility fracture risk of 40% (for hip, vertebra, or wrist).7

Social and Medical Outcomes of Fracture
The medical and social consequences of fractures make osteoporosis an important public health problem. About 20% of women and 40% of men die within 1 year after a hip fracture.8 It has been estimated that 50% of women who sustain a hip fracture become functionally dependent in their daily activities, and 19% require long-term nursing care because of the fracture.9 Vertebral fractures appear to be associated with similar 5-year mortality.9,11 Only one-third of all vertebral fractures are clinically diagnosed.12 In addition to health care costs, vertebral fractures cause back pain, loss of height, depression, and low self-esteem.13 Wrist and other fractures have considerable morbidity that is not usually captured in osteoporosis cost estimates. The total costs of osteoporosis are difficult to assess and are based on many assumptions. It is estimated that the total acute care costs attributable to osteoporosis in Canada (hospitalization, outpatient care, and drug therapy) approached $1.3 billion in 1993.3

It is also well-known that the burden of illness associated with hip fracture extends beyond the initial hospitalization. The levels of health services used were assessed in a study of women aged 50 years and over who had been admitted to an acute care facility for hip fracture in the Hamilton-Wentworth region in Ontario from April 1, 1995 to March 31, 1996.14 The mean 1-year cost of hip fracture for the 504 study patients was $26 527 (95% confidence interval [CI], $24 564–$28 490). One-year costs were significantly (P < 0.001) different for patients who returned to the community (mean = $21 385), versus those who were transferred (mean = $44 156) or readmitted (mean = $33 729) to long-term care facilities. Initial hospitalization represented 58% of the 1-year cost for the community-dwelling patients, compared with 27% of the cost for the long-term care residents. Only 59.4% of the community-dwelling patients resided in the community 1 year following fracture, and 5.6% of patients who survived their first fracture experienced a subsequent hip fracture. Annual economic implications of hip fracture in Canada are $650 million and are expected to rise to $2.4 billion by 2041.14

SOGC Clinical Tip
Osteoporosis Canada (former Osteoporosis Society of Canada) recommends that all postmenopausal women older than 50 years be assessed for the presence of risk factors for osteoporosis.
Table 11.1. Recommended Calcium and Vitamin D Intake From All Sources\textsuperscript{39}\textsuperscript{*}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Calcium</th>
<th>Vitamin D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Children (4–8)</strong></td>
<td><strong>&lt; age 50</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>800 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adolescents (9–18)</strong></td>
<td><strong>&gt; age 50</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1300 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Premenopausal women</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men &lt; 50</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Menopausal women</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1500 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Men &gt; 50</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1500 mg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pregnant or lactating women</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000 mg</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*“All sources” means total diet and supplement.
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BONE HEALTH

Osteoporosis is a disease with its roots in childhood as bone size, strength, and mineralization peak in one’s 20s. Those with the highest peak bone mass have an advantage as reductions in bone density occur with advancing age and menopause. Peak bone mass, while largely genetically determined, is not always met. This can be a result of inadequate calcium and vitamin D intake, poor nutrition, lack of physical exercise, smoking, and other environmental, physiologic, and lifestyle factors. Refer to Table 11.1 for calcium and vitamin D recommendations.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. The goals of osteoporosis management should be fracture risk assessment and prevention of fracture (IB). BMD should not be viewed as the only indicator for management success because therapy may or may not be associated with significant increases in BMD. (IA)

2. Physicians should be aware that a prevalent vertebral or non-vertebral fragility fracture markedly increases the risk of future fracture. (IA)

3. Frailty fracture after the age of 40, being over 65 years of age, low BMD, and family history of osteoporotic fracture (especially maternal hip fracture) should be recognized as the key risk factors for fragility fractures. Systemic glucocorticoid use of more than 3 months duration should be considered as another major risk factor. (IA)

4. Evaluation of osteoporosis in postmenopausal women should include the assessment of clinical risk factors for low BMD and BMD testing. (IB)

5. Central (hip and spine) measurements by DXA should be used for both risk assessment (IA) and follow-up (IB), as they provide the most accurate and precise measurements of BMD.

6. Further evidence should be collected to determine the role of peripheral BMD measurements (e.g., ultrasound or DXA measurements in the radius, phalanx, or heel) in clinical practice. (II-2D)

7. Postmenopausal women with historical height loss greater than 6 cm, prospective height loss greater than 2 cm, kyphosis, or acute incapacitating back pain syndrome should be sent for spine radiographs with a specific request to rule out vertebral fractures. (IA)

8. Until more data becomes available on other clinical applications, bone turnover markers can be used to rapidly assess adherence and effectiveness of pharmacological interventions. (IB)

Calcium

Adequate dietary calcium intake is necessary for mineralization of the skeleton and attainment of peak bone mass. In postmenopausal women, calcium supplements slow bone loss and improve BMD.\textsuperscript{15} Increasing dietary calcium through dairy products has also been associated with increasing BMD.\textsuperscript{16} There are many forms of calcium supplements available and while they may differ in qualities such as absorption, calcium carbonate, being least expensive, could be a cost effective option in older populations.\textsuperscript{17}

Vitamin D

The prevalence of vitamin D deficiency in Canada is high.\textsuperscript{5} The northern latitude makes it difficult to raise vitamin D levels sufficiently in the summer to maintain adequate levels throughout the winter. This is especially true for housebound and institutionalized people. In elderly men and women with vitamin D insufficiency, vitamin D supplementation probably reduces vertebral fractures and may also impact non-vertebral fractures.\textsuperscript{18,19}

Recent evidence suggests that routine supplementation with calcium (1000 mg/d) and vitamin D\textsubscript{3} (800 IU/d), either alone or in combination, is not effective in reducing the risk of fractures among community-dwelling older women with at least one self-reported risk factor for hip fracture. It is also not effective in preventing further fractures in elderly men and women who had a recent fragility fracture.\textsuperscript{20,21}

Although it might not be sufficient as the sole therapy for osteoporosis, routine supplementation with calcium...
(1000 mg/d) and vitamin D₃ (800 IU/d) is still recommended as mandatory adjunct therapy to the main pharmacological interventions (antiresorptive and anabolic drugs). Muscle strength, which may reduce the risk of falling, is also affected by vitamin D (dose equivalent to 800 IU/d),¹⁹ but this has been recently challenged. Osteoporosis prevention and treatment requires adequate vitamin D intake.

**CALCIUM AND VITAMIN D RECOMMENDATION**

9. Although it might not be sufficient as the sole therapy for osteoporosis, routine supplementation with calcium (1000 mg/d) and vitamin D₃ (800 IU/d) is still recommended as mandatory adjunct therapy to the main pharmacological interventions (antiresorptive and anabolic drugs). (IB)

**Exercise**

Physical activity early in life contributes to higher peak bone mass, with resistance and impact exercises showing most benefit.²²⁻²⁵ In postmenopausal women, BMD at the spine can be positively affected by aerobics, resistance, and weight-bearing exercise.²⁶⁻²⁸ Walking also appears to benefit the hip BMD. Walking may be the most cost-effective exercise that is easily accessible to the population.²⁹ Trials of exercise in older community-dwelling women with osteoporosis produce variable results in terms of improved strength and balance.²⁹ Exercise interventions that increase strength and improve balance can reduce falls, but there is not yet evidence of fracture reduction in exercise trials. Women should be encouraged to perform fast walking in a safe environment as a means of improving bone health.

**Nutrition**

Optimal bone health requires good overall nutrition. Malnutrition is associated with an increased risk of osteoporosis.³⁰ Body mass index (BMI) ≤ 20 kg/m² is associated with increased risk of fracture.³¹ Elderly community-dwelling women are at risk of malnutrition for many reasons. Weight gain in underweight community-dwelling women is associated with increased BMD.³² High protein and high sodium diets increase calcium excretion and increase markers of bone resorption.³³ Weight loss in overweight postmenopausal women with a normal calcium intake may also result in inadequate calcium absorption.³⁴ A caffeine intake of over 4 cups of coffee per day has been associated with an increased risk in hip fracture.³⁵ Osteoporosis has been added to the negative effects of smoking. Smokers have significantly lower bone mass compared with non-smokers. This effect appears to be dose dependent and may be partially reversible by smoking cessation. The negative effect of smoking is even more pronounced at the hip, where it is estimated that smoking increases lifetime fracture risk by 31% in women and 40% in men.³⁶

**DEFINITIONS**

The WHO has proposed 4 diagnostic categories for postmenopausal Caucasian women combining BMD (or bone mineral content [BMC]) measured at any site and osteoporotic fracture in a stratified definition of osteoporosis (Table 11.2).³⁷ The choice of this 2.5 standard deviation cut-off by the WHO was based on epidemiological data showing that over 50% of the individuals who have already sustained a fragility fracture were at or below this level of BMD.

A US National Institutes of Health (NIH) consensus conference defined osteoporosis as “... a skeletal disorder characterized by compromised bone strength predisposing a person to an increased risk of fracture. Bone strength reflects the integration of two main features: bone density and bone quality.”³⁸ The only clinically applicable index of bone quality at present is a patient’s history of a fragility fracture. Fragility fractures are associated with significant morbidity, increased mortality, and staggering medical expenses. In the absence of methods of measuring bone quality, the diagnosis of osteoporosis tends to be made on the basis of low BMD.

This definition recognizes that there is a strong association between BMD and the likelihood of fracture in untreated postmenopausal women, but that other factors independent of BMD influence fracture risk as well: rate of bone loss, breakdown of bone architecture, ineffective repair of fatigue damage, geometric aspects of skeletal structure such

---

**Table 11.2. WHO Diagnostic Categories for BMD in Postmenopausal Caucasian Women**³⁷

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Normal</td>
<td>BMD or BMC not more than 1 SD below the peak bone mass or young adult mean (T-score above -1).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Osteopenic</td>
<td>BMD or BMC between 1 and 2.5 SD below the young adult mean (T-score between -1 and -2.5).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Osteoporosis</td>
<td>BMD or BMC 2.5 SD or more below the young adult mean (T-score at or below -2.5).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Severe osteoporosis</td>
<td>BMD or BMC 2.5 SD or more below the young adult mean (T-score at or below -2.5) and the presence of one or more fragility fractures.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

as hip axis length, frequency and type of falls, and life expectancy. Therefore, the WHO definition is important for assessing the number of affected individuals but should not be used as the sole indication for treatment. In fact, treatment could be justified regardless of the BMD level in patients who have already sustained a fragility fracture and in glucocorticoid-treated patients.39 Furthermore, Osteoporosis Canada has recently proposed that an individual’s 10-year absolute fracture risk, rather than BMD alone, be used for fracture risk categorization.40

**ASSESSMENT**

**Women at Risk of Low Bone Mineral Density**

Osteoporosis Canada recommends that all postmenopausal women older than 50 be assessed for the presence of risk factors for osteoporosis.39 There are two stages of assessment in identifying high-risk individuals for osteoporosis: risk factors identifying those who should be assessed with a BMD test, and the risk factors identifying those at risk of osteoporotic (fragility) fracture who should be considered for therapy.39

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Major Risk Factors</th>
<th>Minor Risk Factors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age 65 years</td>
<td>Rheumatoid arthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertebral compression fracture</td>
<td>Past history of clinical hyperthyroidism</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragility fracture after age 40</td>
<td>Chronic anticonvulsant therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family history of osteoporotic fracture</td>
<td>Low dietary calcium intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic glucocorticoid therapy 3 months</td>
<td>Smoker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malabsorption syndrome</td>
<td>Excessive alcohol intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary hyperparathyroidism</td>
<td>Excessive caffeine intake</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Propensity to fall</td>
<td>Weight 57 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopenia apparent on X-ray film</td>
<td>Weight loss 10% of weight at age 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypogonadism</td>
<td>Chronic heparin therapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Early menopause (before age 45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Osteoporosis Canada has taken the position that “BMD testing is appropriate for targeted case-finding among women under the age of 65 and for all women age 65 and older because of the high risk of osteoporosis and fracture after that age.”39

Women at Risk of Fragility Fracture

It is important to assess for the presence of one major or two minor risk factors for osteoporosis (Table 11.3) to identify who should have a BMD test.

There are a number of decision tools that have been developed to aid physicians in selecting patients for BMD testing using a variety of combinations of risk factors.41-44 Recent evidence from multiple sources confirms that each tool identifies over 90% of women aged 45 years or older with primary osteoporosis.45-47 However, these tools have poor specificity in that a significant portion of identified women (30% to 60%) will have normal BMDs upon testing.45-47

**Women at Risk of Fragility Fracture**

It is critical to recognize that low BMD is one of the most significant risk factors for predicting future fragility fractures. Equally important is the presence of a previous fragility fracture. Despite availability of different evaluation techniques and diagnostic modalities, it has been estimated that only 5% to 25% of Canadian women with fragility fractures are subsequently investigated for osteoporosis, and only half of those receive treatment.48,49

Fragility fracture is defined as a fracture that occurs spontaneously or following a minor trauma, such as a fall from standing height (e.g., a fall from roller skates or ice skates); a fall from a sitting position; a fall from laying down on a bed or a reclining deck chair from less than a meter high; a fall after having missed 1 to 3 steps in a staircase; a fall after a movement outside of the typical plane of motion; or coughing. Some studies have considered fractures that occur as a result of any fall from a height of less than a meter, such as
after having missed 1 to 3 steps in a staircase, as fragility fractures.\textsuperscript{50,55}

Measurement of height loss is a good clinical indicator of vertebral fracture.\textsuperscript{56} Klotzbuecher et al.\textsuperscript{57} performed a meta-analysis of the risk of future fracture, given the history of prior fracture, and concluded that women with prior fracture had a 2- to 10-fold risk of another fracture, compared with those without fracture. This risk was reported to further increase with the number of prior vertebral fractures.

**MONITORING: CENTRAL DXA, RADIOGRAPHS, AND BONE TURNOVER MARKERS**

Depending on the clinical situation, central DXA scans (lumbar spine and hip) may be repeated in 1 to 3 years. This is usually done to monitor the response to a pharmacologic therapy or to document the stability of bone density in untreated patients at risk for bone loss and to improve adherence to therapy.\textsuperscript{58} Whenever possible, the patient’s initial and follow-up scans should be done on the same instrument, using the same procedure. The reader is referred to the recommendations for BMD reporting in Canada, recently published by Osteoporosis Canada.\textsuperscript{40}

**Spinal Radiographs**

There is renewed interest in vertebral fractures resulting from osteoporosis. The presence of a vertebral fracture increases the risk of a second vertebral fracture at least 4-fold over 3 years.\textsuperscript{61} A study of the placebo group in a recent major clinical trial showed that 20% of subjects experiencing a vertebral fracture during the period of observation had a second vertebral fracture within 1 year.\textsuperscript{62} Vertebral fractures are also indicators of increased risk of fragility fractures at other sites, such as the hip.\textsuperscript{63} Postmenopausal women with historical height loss greater than 6 cm, prospective height loss greater than 2 cm, kyphosis, or acute incapacitating back pain syndrome should be sent for spine radiographs with specific request to rule out vertebral fractures.\textsuperscript{56}

**Bone Turnover Markers**

Bone turnover markers have emerged as powerful tools to help in the management of osteoporosis since they provide information that is different and complementary to BMD measurements.\textsuperscript{65} Because of the coupling between resorption and formation in the remodelling cycle, both markers of bone formation (within 3 to 6 months) and bone resorption (within 1 to 3 months) will decrease or increase in parallel, in response to antiresorptive and anabolic drug therapies. Bone resorption markers include urinary hydroxyproline, urinary pyridinoline (PYR), urinary deoxypyridinoline (D-PYR), and the C- and N-terminal propeptides of type I collagen (PICP, PINP). Bone resorption markers include serum osteocalcin, bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP), and the C- and N-terminal propeptides of type I collagen (PICP, PINP). Bone resorption markers include serum osteocalcin, bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP), and the C- and N-terminal propeptides of type I collagen (PICP, PINP). Bone resorption markers include serum osteocalcin, bone alkaline phosphatase (BAP), and the C- and N-terminal propeptides of type I collagen (PICP, PINP).
specifically, an increase in bone resorption markers, are associated with increased vertebral and non-vertebral fractures independently of BMD on a group basis, but their measurements cannot yet be recommended to predict fracture risk on an individual basis.39,66,67 Currently, bone turnover markers cannot be recommended for the prediction of bone loss.68

The ability to monitor treatment with bone turnover markers to rapidly assess adherence and effectiveness of pharmacological interventions represents the most promising clinical application.65 Given the paucity of data, the clinical utility of bone turnover marker changes under anabolic agents is yet to be determined. Currently approved osteoporosis therapies are mostly antiresorptive and produce a rapid reduction of bone turnover that reaches nadir levels in 3 to 6 months, followed by a plateau. For the clinician, the primary concern is the early identification of non-responders, that is, of patients who fail to demonstrate the expected decrease in bone remodelling and, therefore, the expected reduction in fracture risk. The optimal threshold of bone marker change that will lead to the maximal fracture reduction is yet to be defined. However, recent findings from a large fracture trial indicate no further anti-fracture benefit with further decreases in bone resorption markers below a decrease of 55% to 60% for uCTX and 35% to 40% for uNTx.69 Further research is needed to establish the cut-offs of each bone turnover markers based on the probability of fracture in large clinical trials of each therapeutic regimen.

To reduce the impact of circadian variability on clinical interpretation of bone turnover markers, it is essential that the timing of sample collection is tightly controlled: early morning (serum before 9:00 AM; first or second morning voided urine, with creatinine correction) after an overnight fast.68 In addition, it should be noted that abnormal bone turnover marker values may indicate that a fracture has occurred within the previous 3 months, resulting in accelerated local bone metabolism.70

Recent developments using an electrochemiluminescence automated method (Elecsys, Roche Diagnostics) to measure N-MID Osteocalcin and PINP (bone formation) and sCTx (bone resorption) with excellent intra- and interassay precisions (CV ≈ 5–8%) have improved the ability of bone turnover markers to monitor the individual response to antiresorptive or bone-forming therapies.71

**SOGC Clinical Tip**
Routine supplementation with calcium (1000 mg/d) and vitamin D3 (800 IU/d) is still recommended as mandatory adjunct therapy to the main pharmacological interventions (antiresorptive and anabolic drugs).

For an overview of non-hormonal osteoporosis therapies, refer to Tables 11.4 and 11.5.

**Hormone Therapy**
Since the publication of results from the 2 hormone randomized controlled clinical trials of the Women’s Health Initiative (WHI),72,73 guidelines from the Society of Obstetricians and Gynaecologists of Canada (SOGC)74 and a position statement from the North American Menopause Society (NAMS),75 recommended the use of HT in postmenopausal women for moderate to severe symptoms of menopause.

The estrogen and progestin component of WHI randomized controlled trials is the first trial with definitive data supporting the ability of conjugated equine estrogens and progestins to prevent clinical fractures at the hip, vertebrae, and other sites, in a population of postmenopausal women not selected for osteoporosis based on BMD testing.72 Similar results for prevention of fractures were demonstrated in the estrogen component trial of WHI.73

For symptomatic menopausal women choosing HT as a therapeutic option,76 osteoporosis prevention can still be considered as a secondary benefit due to the positive effect ovarian hormones have on BMD. This is supported by results of many studies with BMD as the primary measure.77-79 Both oral and transdermal estrogen therapy (ET) decrease bone loss.77-79 Lower doses of estrogen taken in combination with calcium may also prevent BMD loss.80 BMD rises in women who begin ET within five years after menopause.77-79 Postmenopausal treatment with unopposed very-low-dose transdermal estradiol (0.014mg/day) has also been shown to increase BMD and decrease markers of bone turnover without causing endometrial hyperplasia.81 There is no published data for fracture reduction with these lower doses of estrogen.

**SOGC Clinical Tip**
For symptomatic menopausal women choosing HT as a therapeutic option, osteoporosis prevention can still be considered as a secondary benefit due to the positive effect ovarian hormones have on BMD.

**THERAPEUTICS AGENTS**
For a summary of hormonal preparations, refer to chapter 6 of the Canadian Consensus Conference on Menopause, 2006 Update.
HORMONE THERAPY RECOMMENDATION

10. HT should be prescribed to symptomatic postmenopausal women as the most effective therapy for symptom relief (IA) and a reasonable choice for the prevention of bone loss and fracture (IA). The risks should be weighted against the benefits if estrogen therapy is being used solely for fracture prevention. (ID)

Bisphosphonates

Bisphosphonates are naturally occurring analogues of pyrophosphate that bind to hydroxyapatite crystals in bone. There are 3 oral bisphosphonates approved in Canada for the prevention and treatment of osteoporosis: etidronate, alendronate, and risedronate. Etidronate is a non-nitrogen-containing bisphosphonate and has largely been replaced by the more potent nitrogen-containing bisphosphonates alendronate and risedronate.

Etidronate

Cyclical etidronate is currently prescribed as 400 mg daily for 14 days followed by 76 days of calcium. A meta-analysis of 13 RCTs (of which 6 were placebo controlled) of cyclical etidronate found BMD increased by 4.06% \( (P < 0.01) \) at the lumbar spine and 2.35% \( (P < 0.01) \) at the femoral neck. There was evidence for the reduction of vertebral fractures (37% reduction; \( P = 0.02 \)) but not for non-vertebral fractures (\( P = 0.97 \)).
Alendronate

The most commonly prescribed alendronate dose is currently 70 mg once weekly or 10 mg daily. A meta-analysis included 11 randomized placebo-controlled trials of 12,855 postmenopausal women.97 These trials were of at least 1-year duration and used daily doses ranging from 5 to 40 mg. BMD increases were dose dependant, particularly for doses 10 mg or greater. Three years of therapy with alendronate resulted in BMD increases of 7.48% (P < 0.01) in the lumbar spine and 5.6% (P < 0.01) in the total hip. The pooled relative risk reduction for vertebral fractures with doses of 5 mg or greater was 48% (P < 0.01); and in those who were treated with doses of 10 mg or greater, the relative risk reduction in non-vertebral fracture was 49% (P < 0.01).97

In postmenopausal women with a prevalent vertebral fracture from the vertebral fracture arm of the Fracture Intervention Trial (FIT), treatment with alendronate reduced the incidence of hip fractures by 51% (P = 0.047) over 3 years.98 In a post hoc pooled analysis of the vertebral fracture and clinical fracture arms of FIT, alendronate reduced the relative risk of hip fracture by 53% (P = 0.005) over 3 to 4 years in postmenopausal women with a prevalent vertebral fracture or a femoral neck BMD T-score of -2.5 or less.99 In this post hoc analysis, alendronate has been found to reduce fractures both in high risk women with vertebral fractures and those with osteopenia.99 Furthermore, clinical vertebral fracture rate reduction (59%; P < 0.001) was demonstrated as early as one year into the study.99 In a more recent post hoc analysis of a subgroup of women who had T-scores of -1.6 to -2.5, there was a relative risk reduction in clinical and radiographic fractures of 60 (P = 0.005) and 43% (P = 0.002) respectively, compared with placebo with 3 years of therapy.100 Fractures appear to remain significantly reduced up to 7 years on therapy, with BMD increases of 11.4% at the lumbar spine.101

Risedronate

Risedronate is prescribed either at 35 mg once weekly or 5 mg daily. A meta-analysis of eight randomized placebo-controlled trials of 14,832 postmenopausal women with osteoporosis examined the efficacy of risedronate in doses ranging from 2.5 to 5 mg daily in trials of at least 1-year duration. A dose-dependant improvement was associated with the 5 mg dose. BMD increased by 4.54% at the lumbar spine (P < 0.01) and 2.75% (P < 0.01) at the femoral neck. Patients taking 5 mg of risedronate daily demonstrated relative risk reduction of 38% (P = 0.01) in vertebral fractures and of 32% (P < 0.01) in non-vertebral fractures, compared with those taking placebo.102

**TABLE 11.5. Non-hormonal osteoporosis medications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Regimen</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alendronate (Fosamax)</td>
<td>10 mg daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70 mg once weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyclical etidronate* (Didrocal)</td>
<td>400 mg daily for 2 weeks followed by 500 mg calcium daily for 76 days in a 3-month kit (Didrocal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal calcitonin (Miacalcin NS)</td>
<td>200 IU daily, intranasally via alternating nostrils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parathyroid hormone</td>
<td>20 μg subcutaneously daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raloxifene (Evista)</td>
<td>60 mg daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risedronate (Actonel)</td>
<td>5 mg daily</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35 mg once weekly</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sulnonate alone (Didronel) is only available as a 200 mg tablet.

**SOGC Clinical Tip**

Alendronate (Fosamax) has been found to reduce fractures both in high risk women with vertebral fractures and those with osteopenia. The most commonly prescribed alendronate doses are currently 70 mg once weekly or 10 mg daily.
A significant reduction in new vertebral fractures in high-risk women with osteoporosis and vertebral fractures (61–65%) has been observed within the first year of therapy in the VERT trials. These risk reductions have subsequently been demonstrated in individuals with and without vertebral fractures. In addition, non-vertebral fractures were reduced by 74% within 1 year of risedronate therapy. A post hoc analysis of the VERT trials has also demonstrated risedronate efficacy at reducing relative risk for clinical vertebral fractures (80% reduction, P < 0.05; 1 [0.1%] risedronate patient versus 12 [1.0%] placebo patients) in just 6 months.

A similar post hoc analysis combining BMD and VERT trials demonstrated a significant reduction in relative risk for non-vertebral fractures (66% reduction, p≤0.05) as early as 6 months.

BMD continues to increase with long-term use. The mean increase from baseline in lumbar spine BMD over 5 years was 9.3% (P < 0.001). The relative risk of new vertebral fractures was significantly reduced with risedronate treatment in years 4 and 5 by 59% (P = 0.01). The mean increase from baseline in lumbar spine BMD over 7 years was 11.5% (P < 0.05).

In a large RCT designed to determine hip fracture efficacy, risedronate was shown to reduce hip fracture rates in those with low femoral neck BMD by 40% (P = 0.009) and prior vertebral fractures by 60% (P = 0.003). Nonskeletal clinical risk factors (other than low BMD) did not identify a population that benefited from treatment, although it did identify a population at increased risk of hip fracture.

SOGC Clinical Tip

A significant reduction in new vertebral fractures in high-risk women with osteoporosis and vertebral fractures has been observed within the first year of therapy with risedronate (Actonel). Risedronate is prescribed either at 35 mg once weekly or 5 mg daily.

Tolerability and Safety

Adverse effects from bisphosphonates are rare, and in a meta-analysis of cyclical etidronate, alendronate, and risedronate, there was no difference in withdrawals, compared with placebo for adverse events. The most frequent concerns associated with cyclical etidronate are diarrhea, nausea, and, rarely, osteomalacia if cyclical therapy is not used.

Nitrogen containing bisphosphonates (alendronate and risedronate) may be associated with gastrointestinal side effects in patients with prior upper gastrointestinal disease, concomitant nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug use, and those already using antireflux medications.

Once weekly bisphosphonates may reduce adverse effects and increase adherence. Once weekly alendronate (70 mg) and risedronate (35 mg) have been found to be equivalent to daily dosing at the lumbar spine, hip, and total body BMD. Increasing age and the presence of non-vertebral fractures have been found to be independent predictors of adherence in postmenopausal women.

To minimize the risk for esophagitis, patients must take bisphosphonates on an empty stomach with a full glass of water, then remain upright and avoid food, beverage, and other medications for 30 minutes. Patients who have mechanical problems of the esophagus, renal dysfunction (creatinine clearance < 30 mL/min), hypersensitivity to the drug, or suffer from hypocalcemia should avoid bisphosphonates.

BISPHOSPHONATES RECOMMENDATIONS

11. Treatment with alendronate or risedronate should be considered to decrease vertebral, non-vertebral, and hip fractures. (IA)

12. Treatment with etidronate can be considered to decrease vertebral fractures. (IB)

NEWER AGENTS, COMBINATION THERAPY

Selective Estrogen Receptor Modulators

SERMs consist of a group of structurally diverse compounds that are distinguished from estrogen by their ability to interact with estrogen receptors, but act either as an estrogen agonist or antagonist depending on the particular environment. A receptor changes its shape when a SERM binds to it, and its particular shape determines which gene it will activate. Subsequently, the activated genes will produce proteins that regulate different processes in the body, such as bone remodelling. Presently, raloxifene is the only SERM approved in Canada for the management of osteoporosis. Raloxifene exhibits agonist effects on the bone and cardiovascular system and antagonist effects on the breast and uterus.

The anti-fracture efficacy of raloxifene is well established by the Multiple Outcomes of Raloxifene Evaluation (MORE) trial, a large RCT of postmenopausal women with or without prevalent vertebral fractures with BMD scores of -2.5 and lower in either the lumbar spine or the hip. Raloxifene is efficacious (vertebral fracture reduction of 30% in women with and 55% in women without prevalent fractures in 3 years), sustainable (50% in fourth year versus 55% in years 0–3), fast acting (68%, P = 0.01, in a 1-year post hoc analysis). The risk reduction for non-vertebral fractures in the overall MORE population is not significant, but a reduction of 47% (P = 0.04) is noted in a post hoc analysis of patients with severe (semi-quantitative grade 3)
prevalent vertebral fractures.86 In another post hoc analysis of postmenopausal women without baseline vertebral fracture who are osteopenic at the total hip by NHANES III criteria, treatment with 60 mg per day of raloxifene significantly reduced the risk of new vertebral fractures (47% reduction) and new clinical vertebral fractures (75% reduction).87

A meta-analysis of seven randomized placebo-controlled trials of raloxifene found BMD increased by 2.51% (P < 0.01) at the lumbar spine and 2.11% at the total hip (P < 0.01). There was evidence for the reduction of vertebral fractures (40% reduction; P = 0.01) but not for non-vertebral fractures (P = 0.24).88

In the prevention trials, it was reported that fewer women in the raloxifene treatment group progressed from normal to osteopenia, and from osteopenia to osteoporosis.89 In addition to its skeletal effects, the MORE trial demonstrates that raloxifene reduces breast cancer by 76% in postmenopausal women with osteoporosis.90 The recent results of Continuing Outcomes of Raloxifene Evaluation (CORE), the extension arm of the MORE trial, confirm that the breast cancer reduction effect in osteoporotic women lasts up to 8 years, with a reduction rate of 66%.91

Unlike the HERS and WHI trials, which indicated an increased risk of cardiovascular events, the MORE trial did not demonstrate harmful effects of raloxifene on the cardiovascular system. In fact, in a subset of women at high risk of cardiovascular diseases, it may have a beneficial effect.92

Until more results are published in the STAR and RUTH trials, raloxifene is not recommended for prevention of breast cancer or cardiovascular diseases.

**SOGC Clinical Tip**
Because of its vertebral fracture efficacy data and its additional extraskeletal benefits, raloxifene (Evista) 60 mg daily is recommended to prevent and treat osteoporosis in younger, asymptomatic postmenopausal women.

**TOLERABILITY AND SAFETY**
The side effects of raloxifene are minimal, with increased incidence of leg cramps and hot flashes (especially in the younger postmenopausal women). The incidence of deep venous thrombosis doubles, but the absolute incidence is small. Venous thromboembolism is a serious side effect associated with raloxifene, although it is reported infrequently: 1.44 and 3.32 events per 1000 woman-years for placebo and raloxifene 60 mg per day.90 The magnitude of the relative risk is similar to that observed with both HRT or HT7293 and tamoxifen.94 Patients are advised to stop using raloxifene a few days prior to major surgeries or long-haul international travel.

**SELECTIVE ESTROGEN RECEPTOR MODULATORS RECOMMENDATION**

13. Treatment with raloxifene should be considered to decrease vertebral fractures. (IA)

**Calcitonin**
Calcitonin is a hormone, produced in the thyroid gland, which is effective in specifically inhibiting osteoclastic bone resorption. Poor oral absorption necessitates either subcutaneous or intranasal administration. Nasal spray calcitonin 200 IU is approved for the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis.129 BMD stabilizes at the lumbar spine and at the hip, similar to the effect of calcium and vitamin D.129

A meta-analysis of 30 RCTs (of which 15 were placebo controlled) of calcitonin found a significant relative risk reduction of 21% (P = 0.05) in vertebral fractures but not in non-vertebral fractures (P = 0.12).88

In the PROOF study, nasal salmon calcitonin significantly reduced vertebral fractures by 33% to 36% using a daily dose of 200 IU in postmenopausal women with and without prior vertebral fracture.129 No anti-fracture effect has been shown with 100 IU or 400 IU doses, and there is no significant reduction in rates of non-vertebral or hip fracture. Some women report the side effect of rhinorrhea. Nasal spray calcitonin has a possible analgesic effect that may be useful in managing the pain of acute vertebral compression fractures. Nasal spray dosing is convenient and flexible.

**SOGC Clinical Tip**
Nasal spray calcitonin 200 IU (Miacalcin) is approved for the treatment of postmenopausal osteoporosis and has a possible analgesic effect that may be useful in managing the pain of acute vertebral compression fractures.

**WHEN TO INITIATE THERAPY**

Previous guidelines from the Osteoporosis Society of Canada (now called “Osteoporosis Canada”) advised to consider pharmacologic intervention based on an individual’s lowest BMD T-score not adjusted for age, as a marker of relative fracture risk and a threshold that varies based on the absence or presence of fragility fracture and other risk factors for fracture.59
Although this was major progress compared with the thresholds derived from the WHO, there were still several weaknesses associated with that system:

1. On its own, a T-score is not the optimal diagnostic parameter for clinical decision making.\(^{130}\)

2. More than half of the osteoporotic fractures occurred in women with a BMD-T score of -1.0 to -2.5, in a large longitudinal observational study in the US.\(^{131}\)

3. Absolute fracture risk can vary substantially within any WHO category due to modification of risk by other factors such as age and sex.\(^{132}\)

Therefore, the OSC now proposes that age, sex, fracture history, and glucocorticoid use be incorporated into the assessment of fracture risk.\(^{40}\) Additional clinical variables may be included in the absolute fracture risk estimate in the future when the methods are more firmly established and validated. The OSC recommends using the lowest BMD T-score to determine a person’s 10-year absolute fracture risk: combined risk for fractures of the hip, spine, forearm, and proximal humerus\(^{40}\) (Table 11.6).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Low risk</th>
<th>Moderate risk</th>
<th>High risk</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;10%</td>
<td>10%–20%</td>
<td>&gt;20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lowest T-Score</td>
<td>Lumbar spine, total hip, femoral neck, trochanter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>&gt; -2.3</td>
<td>-2.3 to -3.9</td>
<td>&lt; -3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>&gt; -1.9</td>
<td>-1.9 to -3.4</td>
<td>&lt; -3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>&gt; -1.4</td>
<td>-1.4 to -3.0</td>
<td>&lt; -3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>&gt; -1.0</td>
<td>-1.0 to -2.6</td>
<td>&lt; -2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>&gt; -0.8</td>
<td>-0.8 to -2.2</td>
<td>&lt; -2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>&gt; -0.7</td>
<td>-0.7 to -2.1</td>
<td>&lt; -2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>&gt; -0.6</td>
<td>-0.6 to -2.0</td>
<td>&lt; -2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>&gt; -0.7</td>
<td>-0.7 to -2.2</td>
<td>&lt; -2.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In low-risk women, the therapeutic intervention could be limited to counselling about bone hygiene, that is, nutrition (adequate calcium and vitamin D through diet and/or supplements), physical exercise, and risk-factor modification (smoking, alcohol, weight).

In moderate-risk women, pharmacological intervention could be considered on the basis of a woman’s perception of a serious threat arising from the disease (e.g., strong family history of osteoporotic fracture), or on the basis of the extra skeletal benefits associated with some therapeutic options such as raloxifene; either of these will lead to an improved persistence.

**LENGTH OF THERAPY**

This issue is simple for teriparatide, the only anabolic agent currently available in Canada. According to its labelling, the duration of treatment with teriparatide is limited to 18 months in Canada. However, for the antiresorptives, there is no current definitive answer to this question. Anti-fracture efficacy has been evaluated in placebo-controlled trials of 3,8,2,9,6,7,102,103,104 4,8,4,9,7,102 or 5 years duration.\(^{72,73,108,133}\)

The antiresorptive drugs appear to be safe up to 5,7,7,3,7,109 8,9,1 and 10 years\(^{134}\) for HT, risedronate, raloxifene, and alendronate, respectively. Except for a rapid loss of hip fracture protection after estrogen discontinuation,\(^{135}\) no fracture data are available after discontinuation of any of the other antiresorptive drugs.
CALCITONIN RECOMMENDATION

14. Treatment with calcitonin can be considered to decrease vertebral fractures and to reduce pain associated with acute vertebral fractures. (IB)

Parathyroid Hormone

Parathyroid hormone (PTH) and its analogues represent a new class of anabolic agents for the treatment of severe osteoporosis. Unlike current antiresorptive agents, which act primarily by inhibiting bone resorption and remodelling to increase bone mass, PTH directly stimulates osteoblast activities and markedly increases bone formation to a greater extent than bone resorption.

Teriparatide (recombinant human PTH(1-34), the only approved drug in this class, is an analog of parathyroid hormone which has shown a significant relative risk reduction in vertebral (65%; \( P < 0.001 \)) and non-vertebral fractures (53%; \( P = 0.02 \)).

Teriparatide is administered as a daily subcutaneous injection of 20 mcg and is approved for therapy of up to 18 months. This regimen increased lumbar spine BMD by 9.7% (\( P < 0.001 \)), total hip BMD by 2.6% (\( P < 0.001 \)), and femoral neck BMD by 2.8% (\( P < 0.001 \)).

Jiang et al. conducted a histomorphometric study of paired bone biopsies from the teriparatide clinical trial. Women receiving teriparatide had significant increases in cancellous bone volume and cancellous trabecular number and connectivity density, as well as an increase in cortical thickness.

This unique improvement of bone microarchitecture illustrates the bone forming properties of teriparatide and distinguishes it from the maintenance observed with bisphosphonates.

Because of its cost and its unique anabolic property, teriparatide is usually reserved for patients with severe osteoporosis.

SOGC Clinical Tip
Teriparatide (Forteo) is administered as a daily subcutaneous injection of 20 mcg and is approved for therapy of up to 18 months. It is recommended for patients with prior fragility fractures; patients with very low BMD, below -3 to -3.5; or patients who continue to fracture or to lose BMD while taking antiresorptive therapy.

Tolerability and Safety
No major adverse reactions have been associated with teriparatide. Compared with placebo, teriparatide 20 \( \mu \)g per day has a higher incidence of nausea, dizziness, and leg cramps. Hypercalcemia is an occasional occurrence, but is rarely clinically significant. Teriparatide produced osteosarcoma in rats who received the drug at doses 3 to 58 times higher than the human therapeutic dose for virtually their entire lifespan, that is, from the age of 8 weeks to 2 years. Reported osteosarcomas in Fischer 344 rats are unlikely to predict an increased risk for osteosarcoma subsequent to the therapeutic use of teriparatide in women with severe osteoporosis at the dosage recommended in the product monograph, namely 20 \( \mu \)g per day subcutaneously for 18 months.

Teriparatide should not be used in patients with metabolic bone diseases other than osteoporosis (osteomalacia, primary or secondary hyperparathyroidism, Paget’s disease of the bone, hypercalcemia), in patients with cancer or are at risk for bone metastasis, or in patients who have previously undergone bone radiation therapy. Teriparatide is also contraindicated in children and adolescents as well as during pregnancy and while breastfeeding. Known allergy to the product or its excipient also contraindicates its use. Safety of teriparatide use in the presence of renal impairment has not been established and, consequently, is not recommended.

PARATHYROID HORMONE RECOMMENDATION

15. Treatment with teriparatide should be considered to decrease vertebral and non-vertebral fractures in postmenopausal women with severe osteoporosis. (IA)

Combination Therapy

Combination of Antiresorptives

The addition of bisphosphonate therapy (alendronate, risedronate, and cyclic etidronate) to long-term ET in women has been shown to improve bone density; when alendronate is added to ET, BMD increases by 3% after 2 years. Other combination therapies (e.g., calcitonin and estrogen, raloxifene and alendronate), also increase bone density. However, fracture data are lacking. Because of the additional cost and side effects and the lack of fracture efficacy, combination therapies are usually not recommended.

Combination of PTH Therapy and Antiresorptives

It appears that bisphosphonates may slightly blunt the effect of PTH therapy if they are given concurrently or preceding PTH therapy. There is good evidence that giving bisphosphonates after a course of PTH therapy will enhance and maintain the bone mass. Estrogen and raloxifene do not appear to have the blunting effect on PTH therapy. Fracture data are lacking and combination therapies are usually not recommended. Sequential
therapies preceding or following PTH treatment are useful in maintaining and enhancing bone mass.

When HT is used for symptomatic treatment of postmenopausal women, the addition of bisphosphonates or PTH is indicated in the following situations: significant bone loss despite use of HT; glucocorticoid therapy (at least 7.5 mg prednisone/day, or equivalent, for at least 3 months); and osteoporotic fracture in a woman on HT.

**COMBINATION THERAPY RECOMMENDATION**

16. Although combination of antiresorptive therapies may be synergistic in increasing BMD, the anti-fracture effectiveness has not been proven; therefore, it is not recommended. (ID)

**SUMMARY**

Osteoporosis and its consequent increase in fracture risk is a major health concern for postmenopausal women, and has the potential to reach epidemic proportions. Low BMD, clinical risk factors for fragility fractures, indices of vertebral fracture such as height loss and kyphosis, and radiographic vertebral fractures are combined in a new paradigm to estimate the 10-year fracture risk and develop treatment protocols for the most at risk women.

Well-designed RCTs have proven the efficacy of drugs such as bisphosphonates, calcitonin, estrogen and progestin therapy, SERMs, and recombinant human PTH (1-34) to treat osteoporosis. These studies also proved undoubtedly that drug therapies for osteoporosis can reduce risk of fractures and improve quality of life. However, the studies' beneficial results are obtained under ideal conditions; but in real life, effectiveness (efficacy in real practice) matters more than efficacy. Compliance with and adherence to a specific drug may influence effectiveness. Finally, adequate calcium and vitamin D through diet and/or supplements are essential adjuncts to osteoporosis prevention and treatment.

**CONCLUSION**

In this document, we have outlined clinical decision making to manage postmenopausal women: diagnosis, risk assessment, appropriate investigations, non-pharmacologic and pharmacologic treatments, and monitoring of response to therapy.

We hope this information will assist you in your clinical practice, particularly in selecting the appropriate postmenopausal women to be tested and treated for osteoporosis, as well as the investigations and therapeutic options best suited for postmenopausal patients with osteoporosis.
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